



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/435,015 | 11/05/1999 | FAN JIAO | 50325-081 | 4659 |

29989 7590 08/15/2006

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

ZIA, SYED

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2131

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/435,015 | JIAO, FAN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Syed Zia | 2131 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Syed Zia. (3) Chris Palermo (42056).
 (2) Stoycho Draganoff (56,181). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 August 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 5, and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mead et al. (US 6,680,942).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant described the invention in detail and ~~so~~ discussed the prior art rejection with respect to directory enabled servers provided by the router.

Examiner discussed his rejection and assumption ~~the~~ and also discussed ~~stated~~ that ^{non} directory enabled ~~server~~ network element is taught and described in reference used.

Both, Applicant and attorney discussed the figure 3A-c of the invention and ~~works~~ and existing claim limitation.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant agreed to submit an amendment to give his input to a preliminary amendment when submitted next month for faster prosecution of the case in general. No agreement was reached about allowance at this time.